

INTRODUCTION

University course scheduling problems are very challenging and highly constrained real-world problems. Many published papers have investigated these kinds of problems, but to the author's knowledge, no research has been done from the student's perspective or the department's long-term course planning perspective. We consider two problems related to university course planning. In the student's course planning problem (SCPP), a student needs to design a course plan that allows him/her to graduate in a timely manner. In the department course planning problem (DCPP), an academic department needs to decide which courses to offer in which semester in order to facilitate student's timely graduation. Three mathematical models for these problems are developed, coded in C++, and solved with IBM ILOG CPLEX. Experiments on small, medium, and large real-world and fictitious instances show promising results.

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

Model SCPP

- Students are decision makers
- Helps student decide what courses to take in what semester based on his/her starting semester (e.g. fall or spring)
- Transfer students are considered
- Leave of absence is considered
- Objective is to minimize the total number of semesters needed to graduate

Model DCPP I

- Helps department to decide what courses to offer in what semester for all students regardless of their starting semester
- Availability of courses is a decision variable
- Each starting semester has been weighted based on time taken for a student to graduate if he/she starts the program on that semester.
- Objective is to minimize the total number of semesters needed to graduate for the average student

Model DCPP II

- Helps department to decide what courses to offer in what semester for all students regardless of their starting semester
- Availability of courses is decision variable
- There are no weights in this model
- Objective function is to minimize the maximum number of semesters for any student takes to graduate

Figure 1: Main features in the mathematical models

CONTACT INFORMATION

Corresponding author: Mohammad Khamechian
Email: Khamech@uwm.edu

METHODOLOGY:

The main methodology used in this research is mathematical optimization. Three integer programming models are developed to solve defined problems.

Table 1: Parameters and indices used in models

Indices	
c, d	Course (c, d = 1, 2, ..., C)
s, t	Semester (s, t = 1, 2, ..., S)
N	Number of sessions in each academic year (n = 1, 2, ..., N) (e.g. fall and spring then N = 2)
Parameters	
C	Number of courses (e.g. 40)
S	Number of semesters (e.g. 10)
N	Number of sessions (e.g. 2)
P _{cd}	= 1 if course c is a pre-req for course d. = 0 otherwise (binary)
C _{cd}	= 1 if course c is a co-req for course d. = 0 otherwise (binary)
J _c	= 1 if junior standing is required for course c = 0 otherwise (binary)
S _c	= 1 if senior standing is required for course c = 0 otherwise
O _{cn}	= 1 if course c is offered in session n. = 0 otherwise (binary)
R _c	= 1 if course c is required for graduation. = 0 otherwise (binary)
Start	session when student is planning to start (1, 2, ..., N)
T _c	= 1 if course c is a technical elective course = 0 otherwise (binary)
TM _c	= 1 if course c is a technical elective course in the major = 0 otherwise (binary)
T	Number of technical elective courses needed for graduation (e.g. 4)
TM	Number of technical elective courses needed in the major for graduation (e.g. 2)
Rand _c	Auxiliary parameter that is random real number in range of [0, 0.001]. It is used in the second part of the objective function to generate different optimal solutions.
Max	Maximum number of courses student can take per semester
A _c	= 1 if course c has already been taken (e.g. by a transfer student) = 0 otherwise
LA	= 2-5 if leave of absence taken during that semester = 0 if no leave of absence takes
D _c	= 1 if course c is offered by department = 0 otherwise (binary)
Allowance	Maximum number of courses that can be offered in an academic year
W _n	Weight for the time taken for student who starts in session n to graduate.
O _{cn}	= 1 if course c is offered in session n. (In model SCPP) = 0 otherwise (binary)
Decision Variables	
X _{ncs}	= 1 if student who started in session n takes course c in semester s. = 0 otherwise (binary)
Y _{ns}	= 1 if student who started in session n takes at least 1 course in semester s. = 0 otherwise. (binary)
D _{ns}	Number of courses completed by the beginning of semester s for students who started in session n
O _{cn}	= 1 if course c is offered in session n. (In model DCPP I and DCPP II) = 0 otherwise (binary)
K	greatest number of semesters for any student takes to graduate

SCPP	DCPP I	DCPP II
Objective function Min $\sum_{s=1}^S Y_s + \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{c=1}^C (s)(X_{cs})(Rand_c)$	Objective function Min $\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^S (W_n)(Y_{ns}) + \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{c=1}^C (s)(X_{cs})(Rand_c)$	Objective function Min $K + \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{c=1}^C (s)(X_{cs})(Rand_c)$
Constraints 1. $Y_{s+1} \leq Y_s$ for all $s \leq S-1$ 2. $X_{cs} \leq Y_s$ for all c and s 3. $A_c + \sum_{s=1}^S X_{cs} \geq R_c$ for all c 4. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{cs} \leq 1$ for all c 5. $\sum_{c=1}^C X_{cs} \leq Max$ for all s 6. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{ds} \leq \sum_{s=1}^S X_{cs} + A_c$ for all (c, d) such that $P_{cd} = 1$ $(\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{cs})) + 1 \leq (\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{ds})) + (S+1)(1 - \sum_{s=1}^S X_{ds})$ 7. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{ds} \leq \sum_{s=1}^S X_{cs} + A_c$ for all (c, d) such that $C_{cd} = 1$ $(\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{cs})) \leq (\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{ds})) + (S)(1 - \sum_{s=1}^S X_{ds})$ 8. $D_s = \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} \sum_{c=1}^C X_{ct} + \sum_{c=1}^C A_c$ for all $s \geq 2$ $D_s \geq (20)(X_{ncs})$ for all c and s such that $J_c = 1$ $D_s \geq (30)(X_{ncs})$ for all c and s such that $S_c = 1$ 9. $X_{cs} \leq O_{c, ((s-1) + (Start-1) \bmod N) + 1}$ for all c and s 10. $(\sum_{c=1}^C (A_c + \sum_{s=1}^S X_{cs}))(TM_c) \geq TM$ $(\sum_{c=1}^C (A_c + \sum_{s=1}^S X_{cs}))(T_c) \geq T$ 11. $Y_{LA} = 1$ if $LA \neq 0$ $\sum_{c=1}^C X_{cLA} = 0$	Constraints 1. $Y_{n(s+1)} \leq Y_{ns}$ for all n and $s \leq S-1$ 2. $X_{ncs} \leq Y_{ns}$ for all n, c and s 3. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs} \geq R_c$ for all c and n 4. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs} \leq 1$ for all c and n 5. $\sum_{c=1}^C X_{ncs} \leq Max$ for all s and n 6. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds} \leq \sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs}$ for all (n, c, d) such that $P_{cd} = 1$ $(\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{ncs})) + 1 \leq (\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{nds})) + (S+1)(1 - \sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds})$ 7. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds} \leq \sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs}$ for all (n, c, d) such that $C_{cd} = 1$ $(\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{ncs})) \leq (\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{nds})) + (S)(1 - \sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds})$ 8. $D_s = \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} \sum_{c=1}^C X_{nct}$ for all $s \geq 2$ and n $D_s \geq (20)(X_{ncs})$ for all n, c and s such that $J_c = 1$ $D_s \geq (30)(X_{ncs})$ for all n, c and s such that $S_c = 1$ 9. $X_{ncs} \leq O_{c, ((s-1) + (n-1) \bmod N) + 1}$ for all n, c, s 10. $(\sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{s=1}^S (X_{ncs}))(TM_c) \geq TM$ for all n $(\sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{s=1}^S (X_{ncs}))(T_c) \geq T$ for all n 11. $\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C (D_c)(O_{cn}) \leq Allowance$	Constraints 1. $Y_{n(s+1)} \leq Y_{ns}$ for all n and $s \leq S-1$ 2. $X_{ncs} \leq Y_{ns}$ for all n, c and s 3. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs} \geq R_c$ for all c and n 4. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs} \leq 1$ for all c and n 5. $\sum_{c=1}^C X_{ncs} \leq Max$ for all s and n 6. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds} \leq \sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs}$ for all (n, c, d) such that $P_{cd} = 1$ $(\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{ncs})) + 1 \leq (\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{nds})) + (S+1)(1 - \sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds})$ 7. $\sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds} \leq \sum_{s=1}^S X_{ncs}$ for all (n, c, d) such that $C_{cd} = 1$ $(\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{ncs})) \leq (\sum_{s=1}^S (s)(X_{nds})) + (S)(1 - \sum_{s=1}^S X_{nds})$ 8. $D_s = \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} \sum_{c=1}^C X_{nct}$ for all $s \geq 2$ and n $D_s \geq (20)(X_{ncs})$ for all n, c and s such that $J_c = 1$ $D_s \geq (30)(X_{ncs})$ for all n, c and s such that $S_c = 1$ 9. $X_{ncs} \leq O_{c, ((s-1) + (n-1) \bmod N) + 1}$ for all n, c, s 10. $(\sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{s=1}^S (X_{ncs}))(TM_c) \geq TM$ for all n $(\sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{s=1}^S (X_{ncs}))(T_c) \geq T$ for all n 11. $\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C (D_c)(O_{cn}) \leq Allowance$ 12. $K \geq \sum_{s=1}^S Y_{ts}$ for all n

RESULTS

All Models are tested on data from the UW-Milwaukee Department of Industrial Engineering. For students who start in the fall session, take up to 6 courses/semester, take no leave of absence, and have no incoming transfer courses, the minimum number of semesters needed to graduate is 7. For those who start in spring, the minimum number of semesters is 8. Table 2 shows five optimal solutions for the case where a student starts in the fall.

Table 2: Five outputs from model SCPP (starting in fall, 6 courses/semester, no leaves of absence, no incoming transfer credits)

Starting Session I (fall)				
Semester 1: EAS 100 Math 116 Chem 102 English 310 Free Elective EAS 001	Semester 1: EAS 100 Math 116 Chem 102 Social Science 1 English 310 Free Elective	Semester 2: EAS 200 IE 112 MathEng 201 Math 231 Chem 104 Social Science 2 EAS 001	Semester 1: EAS 100 IE 111 Math 116 Chem 102 Social Science 2 English 310	Semester 1: IE 111 Math 116 Chem 102 Humanities English 310
Semester 2: IE 111 IE 112 MathEng 201 Math 231 Chem 104 Humanities	Semester 2: EAS 200 IE 112 MathEng 201 Math 231 Chem 104 English 310	Semester 3: CompSci 240 IE 350 IE 367 Math 232 Physics 209 Social Science 1	Semester 2: EAS 200 IE 112 MathEng 201 Math 231 EAS 001	Semester 2: EAS 100 IE 112 CompSci 240 MathEng 201 Math 231 Chem 104
Semester 3: CompSci 240 IE 350 IE 367 Math 232 Physics 209 Social Science 1	Semester 3: CompSci 240 IE 350 IE 367 Math 232 Physics 209 Humanities	Semester 4: CivEng 201 EE 301 IE 475 IE 575 Math 233 EE 234 Physics 210	Semester 3: CompSci 240 IE 350 IE 367 Math 232 Physics 209 Free Elective	Semester 3: EAS 200 IE 350 IE 367 Math 232 Physics 209 Social Science 1
Semester 4: CivEng 201 EE 301 IE 475 IE 575 Math 233 EE 234 Physics 210	Semester 4: CivEng 201 EE 301 IE 475 IE 575 Math 233 EE 234 Physics 210	Semester 5: CivEng 202 IE 370 IE 455 IE 470 IE 580 MechEng 301	Semester 4: CivEng 201 EE 301 IE 475 IE 575 Math 233 EE 234 Physics 210	Semester 4: CivEng 201 EE 301 IE 475 IE 575 Math 233 EE 234 Physics 210
Semester 5: CivEng 202 IE 360 IE 370 IE 455 IE 470 IE 580 MechEng 301	Semester 5: CivEng 202 IE 370 IE 455 IE 470 IE 580 MechEng 301	Semester 6: EAS 200 IE 465 IE 571 EE 234 Social Science 2 IE 405 IE 485 IE 583 Art IE 584	Semester 5: CivEng 202 IE 360 IE 370 IE 455 IE 470 IE 580 MechEng 301	Semester 5: EAS 200 IE 465 IE 571 IE 575 Humanities IE 584 Bus Adm 330
Semester 6: EAS 200 IE 465 IE 571 EE 234 Social Science 2 IE 405 IE 485 IE 583 Art IE 584	Semester 6: IE 360 IE 465 IE 571 IE 575 IE 587 MechEng 474	Semester 7: IE 111 IE 485 IE 583 Social Science 1 IE 582	Semester 6: IE 111 IE 360 IE 465 IE 571 IE 575 Humanities IE 584 Bus Adm 330	Semester 6: IE 111 Math 116 Chem 102 Art Humanities English 310

Table 3: Outputs from model SCPP (with leave of absence, no incoming transfer credits, Max=5 and 6)

Start=1 (fall) and Max=6		Start=2 (spring) and Max=6		Start=1 (fall) and Max=5		Start=2 (spring) and Max=5	
LA	#Semesters (including LA)	LA	#Semesters (including LA)	LA	#Semesters (including LA)	LA	#Semesters (including LA)
2	9	2	8	2	10	2	10
3	9	3	8	3	10	3	10
4	9	4	8	4	10	4	10
5	9	5	8	5	10	5	10
6	8	6	10	6	10	6	10
7	9	7	8	7	10	7	10
		8	10	8	10	8	10
				9	10	9	10

Table 3 shows that if Max=6 and a student starts the program on fall, leave of absence on any semester except semester 6, will delay graduation for one year but if the student takes the leave of absence on semester 6, the student's graduation will be delayed by one semester. Which is important information that academic advisor can use to inform new students. Also, if a student starts the program on spring semester, only leave of absence on semesters 6 and 8 will delay student's graduation (by one year). If Max=5, regardless of starting semester, graduation will be delayed by one semester.

The result of model DCPP I with $W_n = [0.9, 0.8]$ as an input for weight is 7. In other word, theoretically regardless of the student's starting semester, with above weights, the student will be able to graduate in 7 semesters. The result for model DCPP II for this case study is also 7. It is worth to mention again that in these two models course availability is a decision variable and it is being designed to minimize the objective function.

CONCLUSION

In this research, we developed 3 mathematical models from 2 different views, coded them in C++ and solved them with CPLEX. All three models are tested on data from the UW-Milwaukee Department of Industrial Engineering. In all models it has been assumed that all other departments have infinite resources for offering their courses. All models are generic and can be applied to different cases. As a future study, this research can be extended to develop a model for the school of engineering course planning.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1]. Schaerf, A., A Survey of Automated Timetabling. Artificial Intelligence Review, 1999, 13(2): p. 87-127.
- [2]. Lu, Z. and J.-K. Hao, Adaptive tabu search for course timetabling. European Journal of Operational Research, 2010, 200(1): p. 235-244.
- [3]. Chiarandini, M., et al., An effective hybrid algorithm for university course timetabling. Journal of Scheduling, 2006, 9(5): p. 403-432.
- [4]. Rasmussen, R.V. and M.A. Trick, Round robin scheduling - a survey. European Journal of Operational Research, 2008, 188(3): p. 617-636.